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Abstract

Evaluations of teaching effectiveness have taken many forms over the years, but none have been as persistent or commonplace as student ratings of instruction (SRI). SRIs have become a fundamental component of evaluating faculty effectiveness in higher education. Support for SRIs comes from end-users of the data who believe that students are uniquely positioned to evaluate faculty based on their experiences and perceptions of the instruction they received. Pragmatically, institutions tend to rely on SRI results for teacher evaluations because they reason that students learn more from faculty who are highly rated by students. However, to what degree is this enthusiasm warranted? Are SRIs reliable, valid, or trustworthy at all?

The main goals of this chapter are to present an overview of SRI research, explain ways of preparing students for SRIs (both formative and summative), and present methods for teachers to use when examining the SRI data. To these ends, this chapter will briefly review the SRI research, including evidence for the value of SRI data despite commonly held misconceptions about the possible influence of factors such as class size, GPA, gender, and professor rank. Attention is then given to understanding how to improve responses to questions that tap constructs students are unlikely to be readily able to respond to, such as “Did this course improve your critical thinking skills?” and to general agreement questions about learning, such as “The pacing of the materials was appropriate.” Techniques for interpreting constructed responses from students, such as “Stop lecturing!” are also provided. Finally, the paper moves on to highlighting the connection between collecting and acting on formative classroom surveys that support
positive transfer to end-of-term SRIs and offers methods to analyze SRIs individually as well as outlining an approach to teacher development with SRI data and teacher-centered consultations by PD programs.
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**Introduction**

*Faculty evaluation and development cannot be considered separately. ... Evaluation without development is punitive, and development without evaluation is guesswork* (Theall, 2017, p.91).

For many years, education systems around the world have embarked on and rekindled missions to reform educational practices with the aim of improving student learning (Borko, 2004). While a myriad of changes (e.g., improved facilities, smaller class sizes) might yield the desired improvements, researchers have identified teachers as one of the most influential resources that can impact students’ academic success (Burroughs, et al., 2019). From this perspective, the magnitude of any positive change in student learning will ultimately depend on the synergy of teachers seeking to improve their teaching (Fullan & Miles, 1992) and the support and professional development provided by their institutions (Ball & Cohen, 1999; Borko, 2004).

Historically, universities have provided information to teachers on their teaching effectiveness through one or more of several channels of feedback, such as peer observations by colleagues, self-evaluations, retrospective assessments from alumni, and student ratings of instruction (Hobson & Talbot, 2001). This paper focuses on the latter and how student ratings of instruction¹ (SRI) can help inform teacher training and professional development (PD) programs with the goal of improving teaching practice and learning in higher education.

¹I use the term ratings and not evaluations to draw an important distinction between the data (i.e., the students’ ratings) and how the ratings are used (e.g., to evaluate instructional effectiveness for promotion, to support program accreditation applications, to inform curriculum revisions, to encourage professional development, et cetera).
how you interact with and experience the SRI process. In the long run, improving SRIs will require specific and enduring efforts by PD programs and teachers who engage in reflective practice that focuses on actively learning about their teaching.
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