AFTERWORD

As Cultural Memory in Translation: Revisiting Cultural Memory Through Interpretative Lens reaches its conclusion, the volume stands as a testament to memory studies' remarkable evolution and its continuing capacity for theoretical innovation. This collection emerges at a pivotal moment when the field finds itself navigating what scholars have identified as its "fourth phase"—a period characterized by environmental, ecocritical, and posthuman considerations that fundamentally expand traditional conceptual boundaries (Gülüm et al., 2024). The interpretative frameworks showcased throughout these chapters not only respond to contemporary challenges but also anticipate future directions that will likely define memory studies for decades to come.

The significance of this volume becomes particularly apparent when situated within the broader trajectory of memory studies' development. From its origins in Maurice Halbwachs' foundational work on collective memory, through Pierre Nora's influential exploration of *lieux de mémoire*, to Jan Assmann's distinction between cultural and communicative memory, the field has consistently demonstrated its capacity for theoretical renewal (Erll, 2024). However, the third phase's emphasis on transcultural memory, emerging around 2010, marked a decisive shift away from "methodological nationalism" toward understanding how "mnemonic contents, forms, and practices travel across and beyond cultural boundaries" (Erll, 2024). This collection builds upon and extends these insights by foregrounding translation as both analytical lens and cultural practice.

The decolonizing imperative that threads throughout these chapters reflects broader scholarly recognition that memory studies has been dominated by "approaches, concepts, and methods designed in the Global North," creating an unumbira et al., 2022). Christina Pauls' recent work on decolonial memory activism demonstrates how such activism "seeks to fundamentally challenge and transform the epistemological foundations of collective memory itself" rather than merely diversifying content (Pauls, 2024). The interpretative approaches featured in this volume respond directly to these concerns by centering marginalized voices and counter-narratives while developing theoretical frameworks capable of accommodating complex cultural translations.

The translation-memory interface explored throughout these chapters addresses what recent scholarship identifies as a crucial yet underdeveloped area of inquiry. As Siobhan Brownlie's pioneering work demonstrates, translation functions not merely as linguistic transfer but as cultural memory transmission involving processes that can either facilitate understanding or reinforce existing power imbalances (Brownlie, 2016). The volume's sustained attention to how memories

migrate, transform, and acquire new meanings through translation processes contributes to what scholars recognize as an emerging theoretical synthesis between translation studies and memory studies (Deane-Cox & Spiessens, 2022).

Digital technologies present both unprecedented opportunities and significant challenges for contemporary memory work. As recent research demonstrates, the "omnipresence of digital devices, vast information repositories on the internet, and the prevalence of external memory aids" have fundamentally altered how humans remember (Călinescu, 2024). The phenomenon of "digital amnesia"—where individuals increasingly rely on digital devices to store information—raises important questions about the relationship between technological mediation and memory formation. This volume's attention to digital platforms and community-engaged memory work provides crucial insights into how communities can harness these technologies while maintaining agency over their collective narratives.

The methodological innovations showcased throughout these chapters reflect memory studies' increasing sophistication as an interdisciplinary field. Recent assessments suggest that while memory studies has achieved significant recognition, it remains in a "mid-level state of development" where individual scholars serve as "the most active drivers of defining the boundaries of the field" (Sierp, 2021). The major obstacle identified in this development process is the field's fragmented nature, which could be addressed through pursuing "a more interdisciplinary (rather than multidisciplinary) research agenda." This volume's interpretative approach represents precisely such an interdisciplinary synthesis, demonstrating how methodological innovation can emerge from sustained dialogue across disciplinary boundaries.

The environmental turn in memory studies, which scholars identify as characterizing the field's fourth phase, opens new possibilities for understanding memory's ecological dimensions. As recent research demonstrates, memory and environment have long been understood as interrelated, but contemporary approaches emphasize how "memory and environment are embedded, co-constitutive and co-constructed" (Gülüm et al., 2024). While this volume does not explicitly engage with environmental memory, its interpretative frameworks provide essential conceptual tools for understanding how memories operate within and through various environmental contexts.

Looking toward future directions, several emerging trends deserve particular attention. The rise of what scholars term "future memory practices"—approaches that emphasize participatory, socially inclusive memory work across institutions and communities—aligns with many of this volume's contributions (Krueckeberg

et al., 2021). These approaches recognize that memory modalities function as "inherently multifaceted and relational arrangements with a changing face in each individual context of inquiry." The interpretative lens advocated throughout this collection provides crucial tools for analyzing such complex, dynamic memory formations.

The continuing development of digital memory technologies presents both opportunities and challenges that will require sustained critical attention. As researchers note, "decisions are being made now that may have far-reaching consequences" regarding how digital systems store, organize, and provide access to cultural memories (Van House & Churchill, 2008). The interpretative frameworks developed in this volume offer essential perspectives for ensuring that such technological developments serve community needs rather than reproducing existing inequalities.

Recent neuroscientific advances also promise to transform our understanding of memory processes, particularly as new technologies enable researchers to study memory "in ways that closely approximate real life" rather than under highly controlled laboratory conditions (Maguire, 2022). While this volume focuses primarily on cultural rather than biological memory, the interpretative approaches it develops may prove valuable for understanding how individual and collective memory processes intersect.

The question of interdisciplinarity remains central to memory studies' future development. As researchers continue to explore whether "an interdisciplinary field of memory studies is possible," this volume demonstrates that productive collaboration can emerge when scholars commit to genuine dialogue across disciplinary boundaries (Brown et al., 2009). The key lies in developing approaches that honour disciplinary expertise while remaining open to insights that emerge from sustained intellectual exchange.

Perhaps most importantly, this volume demonstrates that interpretative approaches to cultural memory can address urgent contemporary challenges while maintaining theoretical rigor. At a time when memory conflicts proliferate globally and digital technologies reshape how communities construct and transmit their narratives, the need for sophisticated analytical tools has never been greater. The frameworks developed throughout these chapters provide precisely such tools, offering scholars and practitioners means for understanding how memories operate across cultural boundaries while remaining attentive to power dynamics and ethical considerations.

As memory studies continue to evolve, this volume's emphasis on translation as both methodology and object of study will likely prove prescient. In an increasingly interconnected world where cultural memories routinely cross linguistic and territorial boundaries, understanding how translation shapes memory transmission becomes essential for anyone seeking to comprehend contemporary cultural dynamics. The interpretative lens advocated here offers a path forward that honours both the complexity of these processes and the urgent need for analytical frameworks capable of addressing them.

REFERENCES

Brownlie, S. (2016). Mapping memory in translation. Palgrave Macmillan.

Brown, A. D., Gutman, Y., Freeman, L., Sodaro, A., & Coman, A. (2009). Introduction: Is an interdisciplinary field of memory studies possible? *International Journal of Politics, Culture, and Society,* 22(2), 117-124.

Călinescu, A. (2024). The impact of digital technologies on memory and memory studies. *Journal of Contemporary Philosophical and Anthropological Studies*, *2*(1), 65-78. Charumbira, R., Martin, J., McCarthy, M. M., & Wegner, J. M. I. (2022). Call for papers: Special issue on "Decolonizing the study of memory." *Memory Studies Association*. Deane-Cox, S., & Spiessens, A. (Eds.). (2022). *The Routledge handbook of translation and memory*. Routledge.

Erll, A. (2024). Transculturality and the eco-logic of memory. *Memory Studies Review*, 1(1), 17-35.

Gülüm, E., Leworthy, P., Tabaszewska, J., & Teichler, H. (2024). Introduction: Memory and environment. *Memory Studies Review*, 1(1), 3-15.

Krueckeberg, K., Merrill, S., Reading, A., & Koch, G. (2021). Memory modalities: Sociomaterial arrangements. In G. Koch & R. C. Smith (Eds.), *Future memory practices across institutions, communities, and modalities* (pp. 119-146). Routledge.

Maguire, E. A. (2022). Does memory research have a realistic future? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 26(8), 643-652.

Pauls, C. (2024). Struggles over memory? Decolonial memory activism as epistemic struggle against Eurocentrism. *Zeitschrift für Friedens- und Konfliktforschung*, 13(2), 437-447. Sierp, A. (2021). Memory studies: The state of an emergent field. *Memory Studies*, 14(2), 253-268.

Van House, N., & Churchill, E. F. (2008). Technologies of memory: Key issues and critical perspectives. *Memory Studies*, 1(3), 295-310.
